

LIPSITZ & MCALLISTER, LLC

- INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS -

BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 8 755 MAIN STREET MONROE, CONNECTICUT 06468

TELEPHONE: (203) 459-0200 FACSIMILE: (203) 459-0201

In re Application of:

Nesper, et al.

Application No.:

10/731,284

Filed:

December 9, 2003

For:

IMPLANT FOR FIXING ADJACENT BONE PLATES

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

Art Unit:

3733

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Examiner:

R. Shaffer

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is:

A Response in the above-identified application (5 pages) [X]

[X]Return receipt postage prepaid postcard;

[X]I certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on December 4, 2007.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the payment of the required fee(s) or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0625.

Very truly yours,

Douglas M. McAllister

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 37,886

Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC

755 Main Street

Monroe, Connecticut 06468

(203) 459-0200

Attorney Docket No.: HOE-790





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
Nesper, et al.) Examiner: R. Shaffer
Serial No.: 10/731,284) Art Unit: 3733
Filed: December 9, 2003))

For: IMPLANT FOR FIXING ADJACENT BONE PLATES

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.C Box 1450, Alexandria, Vp. 22313-1450 on: December 4, 2007.

ignature: Que Y

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This Response is responsive to the Office Action mailed on October 4, 2007. Please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

Summary

Claims 1-19 and 22-33 are pending. Claims 25, 26 and 29-33 are withdrawn.

As a preliminary matter, Applicants' counsel would like to thank the Examiner for the courteous telephone interview conducted on November 29, 2007, the details of which are set forth below.

Claims 1-24, 27, and 28 are rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. patent no. 7,238,188. Claims 1-24, 27, and 28 are provisionally rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending application no. 10/911,982, and claims 1-35 of copending application no. 11/702,258.